SCIENCE FALSELY SO CALLED

The Age of the Earth, Dinosaurs and the Flood..

1 Timothy 6:20 "...keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called"

> Matthew John Mattyjohn14.6@mail.com

<u>Contents</u>

Prologue + A Brief History of Uniformitarian Philosophy	Page 2
First Tackling the 'Old Earth Creationist'	Page 3
Evidence for an 'Old Earth'?	Page 3 - 8
Evidence for a Young Earth	Page 8 - 9
Evidence for a Worldwide Flood	Page 9 - 10
Dinosaurs & Men	Page 11 - 13
Summary	Page 13

Prologue

There is a lot of pseudo-science today such as Big Bang cosmology and evolution theory, which are really just parts of the secular religion and its creation story. I refute each of these seperately in the *Science Falsely So Called* series found at www.mattyjohn146.com. Now we are going to take a deeper look into the false claims that have been made to prop up Big Bang-evolution, namely the billions of years age of the Earth & the evidence for the Biblical flood also known as the flood of Noah. lastly we will examine the claim of the pre-historic extinction of the dinosaurs. Lets go...



A Brief History of Uniformitarian Philosophy

There have always been atheists (Psalm 14, Psalm 53, Romans 1). Anybody who does not attribute the marvellous, clearly designed and highly ordered cosmos to a supreme creator has a burden upon them to explain how we and everything else came to be. The Bible tells us that in the last days these scoffers would apply a philosophy that amounts to the belief that the slow geologic processes observed today can explain all geology. Or put this way "the present is the key to the past" This is called uniformitarianism.

2Peter 3:3 Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts, 4 And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, a<u>ll things</u> continue as they were from the beginning of the creation.

This philosophy was applied by Charles Lyell in the 19th Century to geology, and he is known for his publication of *Principles of Geology*. C. Lyell openly hated God and the Bible and wanted to undermine the authority of holy scripture - "...the age will not stand my anti-Mosaical conclusions..." Letter to Roderick Murchison 1829

First Tackling the 'Old Earth Creationist'

The Christian who compromises the Word of God and tries to foist worldy wisdom into the Holy Scriptures is in grave error. The Bible is very clear that God created everything in 6 literal days. And building upon this premise we can add up the genealogies from Adam to Jesus Christ. This gives us about 4,500 years of history. From there we have a very accurate historical record bringing us to the present day and giving an age of the Earth close to 6,500 years.

Exodus 20:11 For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day...

Some strange heresies trying to aid in the inclusion of long periods of time such as the gap theory: that between day one and day two of creation was a vast span of time, are refuted by Jesus Christ

(see Matthew 19:4 & Mark 10:6), as well as the Apostle Paul in 1 Timothy 2:13 For Adam was first

formed, then Eve.

The Bible also makes it very clear that death did not occur in the world until after Adam had sinned -

Romans 5:12 + 1Corinthians 15:21 For since by man came death, by man came also the

resurrection of the dead.

The 'old earth creationist' is in heresy when teaching 'theistic evolution' as a part of their version

of creation, because they require death to preceed sin.

Old earth creation and theistic evolution are heresy!

Evidence for an 'Old Earth'...?

Is there any evidence that a proponent of 'old earth' secularism might point to to prove their theory? Well they do claim to have some, so lets examine each one at a time.

1. Radiomentric dating

Radiomentric dating is the measuring of radioactive decay rates in radioactive materials such as Carbon14, Potassium-Argon and Uranium etcetera. Does this show the earth is billions of years old? No!

There are several false underlying assumptions in the method for radioactive dating, namely that a) decay rates do not change,

b) the original amount is known and,

c) the results are accurate.

a) Decay rates have been shown to change depending on a number of factors.

"For several years, reports have been published about fluctuations in measured radioactive decay timeseries and in some instances linked to astrophysical as well as classical environmental influences." - www.nature.com

3 | Page

"...research shows evidence that a process called "cavitation" accelerated the nuclear decay of thorium (Th228). In particular, it seems that cavitation caused radioactive thorium decay to accelerate by a factor of 10,000 times during a 90-minute experiment." - **ICR.org**

b) In order to calculate how old a rock or other specimen is then you have to calculate how much of the unstable nuclear isotope has decayed. In order to do this you need to know the decay rate (which we have shown is changeable), how much you have now (which can be measured), and how much there was to begin with. In order to know how much there was to begin with you need to know how old it is, which is circular!



c) The results of radiometric dating are known to be innacurate and the method is to simply assume the age, repeat the tests over and over and select the closest result to use to deceptively try to prove the age you assumed to begin with. This whole 'science' is just alchemy!

"If a C14 date supports our theories we put it in the main text... if it is completely 'out of date' we just drop it." - **proceedings of the twelfth Nobel symposium**

"...the radiocarbon method is still not able to yield accurate & reliable results. There are gross discrepancies, the chronology is uneven and relative and the actual dates are selected dates." - Anthropological journal of Canada

Conclusion: Radiometric dating is not proof for an 'old earth'!

2. Fossils

The mainstream secular claim is that fossils take vast spans of time to form. Therefore, because there are lots of fossils the earth must be very old. But is that what we observe? No!

Example 1



There are lots of fossils found of creatures in the middle of eating their dinners, which shows the fossilization was rapid and did not take thousands or millions of years.

Example 2



This poor creature is a dog that got stuck inside a tree trunk. It was petrified very quickly.

4 | Page

Example 3



In 2005 Dr. Mary Schweitzer discovered soft tissue on T-Rex bones, proving that dinosaur fossils are not millions of years old.

Example 4



In 2012 Dr. Mark Armitage discovered soft tissue in Triceratops bones, proving again that dinosaur fossils are not millions of years old.

Fossils are petrified material. In order for something to petrify (become rock), you need lots of mineral rich water to be running through something, and the water washes away the original material and replaces it with rock minerals. This happens to creatures when they are rapidly buried by flood water. So what could bury billions of organisms rapidly and create all of the fossils we see buried around the world? A world wide flood would explain this.

Let us hold these thoughts regarding both the dinosaur fossils not being so old and the flood evidenced by fossils. We will return to both later.

Conclusion: Fossils do not prove an 'old earth' but rather are evidence of Noah's flood!

3. Rock Strata (the geologic column)

The 'old earther' would by now surely point to the geologic column and state that all of the rock strata (layers) show a long earth history as there are so many of them and we observe today that layers like these would take such a long time to lay down. But if radiometric dating is unreliable, how can we test this claim and is there another explanation for the strata we observe?

<u>Test</u>: Are there any observations that would invalidate the claim that the strata took great ages to deposit? Yes.

1. Polystrate fossils are fossils of creatures or plants that are found across many layers supposedly seperated by millions of years.



2. There are no observable animal holes/burrows, roots or water erosion between layers in the strata showing no passage of time between those layers.

<u>Alternate explanation</u>: A worldwide flood would account for the strata we see all over the world. Hydrologic sorting would explain how the layers were laid down, and would explain the polystrate fossils too.

<u>Side note</u>: The geologic column is a man-made construct. It only exists in text books. **Carl Dunbar, Author of Historical Geology Textbook** - "No single area contains a record of all geological time... A third of the Earth's land surface does not even have even three of the geologic periods in consecutive order"

<u>Objection!</u> The 'old earther' would now object and state that index fossils are used to date rock layers. But you should ask them, how do they know how old the fossils are? And the answer will make you laugh "we date the fossils by the layer of rock they are found in..."

"The intelligent layman has long suspected circular reasoning in the use of rocks to date fossils and fossils to date rocks." - J. E. O'Rourke, American Journal of Science

Conclusion: Strata do not show evidence of an 'old earth' but evidence a worldwide flood!

4. Stalagmites & Stalactites

How about the claim that formations like stalagmites and stalactites take aeons of time to form, and thus prove an 'old earth'? Is this true, or just another falsehood from the secularists? It is false.

There are countless examples of these stalagmites and stalactites both forming over short periods of time. A famous example would be the Hoover Dam, completed in 1936 and is full of these formations. Guess they do not take millions of years after all.



Conclusion: Stalagmites do not prove an 'old earth'!

5. Fossil Fuels & Diamonds?

Do not fossil fuels like coal, oil and gas and materials like diamonds take thousands or millions of years to form? No!

1. Carbon14, used in Carbon dating has a maximum range which is about 50,000 years. Therefore, anything containing C14 is less than 50,000 years old. We find C14 in fossils (like dinosaur bones), coal and diamonds.

2. Fossil fuels and diamonds are now produced in man made environments in days or even hours. secularist will insist that it takes billions of years to form a diamond. What a terrible lie!

"As a matter of fact, the coalification process doesn't even require much time. In recent years, several laboratory experiments have shown that coal can form quickly, in just hours or days." - **www.ICR.org**



<u>Thought</u>: What could explain huge deposits of dead plant and animal material that are buried and turned into fossil fuel? A flood..?

Conclusion: fossil fuels do not prove an 'old earth'!

6. Stars and starlight?

A final objection from the secular religion might now be "what about distant star light? That takes billions of years to travel from the stars to earth so that proves an old universe at least!". Well, there are a few assumption such as assuming they know what stars are, how big and thus how far away they are and that the speed of light is a constant. Now I could demonstrate that the first two are not provable and the third is debunked but I will grant them all three assumptions and address this from a Biblical viewpoint.

1. God made Adam and Eve fully grown with the appearance of age. Just as He did the animals and plants and everything else. An appearance of age is not a proof of age.

2. The ordinances of Heaven are created by God & He alone can control them. Who are we to say that God cannot provide light from stars at any distance? We do not have dominion of light or any other natural law.

Job 38:33 Knowest thou the ordinances of heaven? Canst thou set the dominion thereof in the earth?

<u>Conclusion: There is no evidence for the great spans of time claimed by the secular religion. These ages</u> were invented to lend credence to the rediculous evolution theory!

Evidence for a young Earth

Is there any evidence that the age of our world might be in the order of thousands of years and not billions? Yes...

1. Secular religion teaches that the earth started its life as a molten ball that slowly cooled and formed a crust. But Polonium Halos have been found in granite bedrocks showing that they must have formed instantaneously. And granite cannot be melted and reformed. So the earth did not start as a molten ball of rock but was formed instantly just as in the account of Genesis 1. Read more about this in **Dr. Robert**

V. Gentry's book creations tiny mystery

2. The Earth atmosphere contains Carbon 14, which we have referenced when discussing radiometric dating. This is produced from the sunlight hitting nitrogen in the upper atmosphere. It is estimated that after 30,000 years of solar interaction with our atmosphere the production and decay of C14 would reach equilibrium, but it still has not. This makes the atmosphere less that 30,000 years old.

3. Ocean water salinity is increasing. Estimates show the ocean has been gaining salinity for about 4, 000 - 5,000 years. (from about the time of the flood according to the Bible)

4. The oldest coral reef in the world is estimated to be 4,000 - 5,000 years old. (from about the time of the flood according to the Bible)

5. The oldest trees in the world are estimated to be about 4,000 - 5,000 years old. (from about the time of the flood according to the Bible)

6. Human population growth shows that mankind could not have been around much beyond 10,000 years at the most stretched estimates.



7. DNA studies on the human genome show that we all came from a man and a woman between 6,000 and 10,000 years ago, just like the Bible says. And shows a bottle neck some time after to 4 breeding pairs, just like at the flood! read **Dr. Nathaniel Jeanson's book** *Traced* for more information.

8. Human history starts about 4,500 years ago in the middle-east with fully formed civilization and language very abruptly, just as the Bible says happened after the flood.

9. The magnetic field of the earth has decreased 14% in 130 years meaning it could not have existed more than 10,000 years ago.

10. Deleterious mutations which accumulate in gene pools of species show a decline in genetic information making it impossible for life to have existed millions or billions of years ago. At the current rate of accumulation all life would now be extinct if this were the case.

Conclusion: I did not provide an exhaustive list, but there is clearly a huge body of evidence against an 'old earth' and supporting the Biblical account of creation 6,500 years ago.

Evidence for a Worldwide Flood

Genesis 7:10 And it came to pass after seven days, that the waters of the flood were upon the earth. 11 In the six hundredth year of Noah's life, in the second month, the seventeenth day of the month, the same day were all <u>the fountains of the great deep broken up</u>, and the windows of heaven were opened. 12 And the rain was upon the earth forty days and forty nights.

1. When reading the account of the flood in the Bible Alfred Wegener proposed a theory that there would be great cracks in the earth crust (fault lines), and this theory developed into **plate tectonics**. His theory was largely rejected during his lifetime but has since been validated.

>This is real science. A hypothesis tested by observation and verified. Validating the Biblical account.

2. We have already remarked how that **fossil fuels and fossils** themselves are not evidence of an 'old earth', but rather for a worldwide flood. I would now like to elaborate on this.

>Fossil fuels exist in large quantities.

>Fossil fuels exist all over the world.

>Fossil fuels are made from dead biological material.

>Fossil fuels are made by pressure from burial.

>A flood would explain how so much biological matter would have died, been buried everywhere, formed into fossils and fuels and in huge quantities.

3. Genesis 7:19 And the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and <u>all the high hills</u>, that were under the whole heaven, <u>were covered</u>.

20 Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail; and the mountains were covered.

> side note: Those fools who insist the Genesis flood was local need to read their Bible.

The flood account tells us that all the mountains were covered all over the world. So is there anything we could expect to see to evidence this? Yes!

There are **fossils of marine life on the tops of mountains**. Even clams by the millions, which are especially interesting because dead clam shells open up, but these all remain closed. This shows they were killed and buried suddenly.

4. The Bible is a historical document, but the scoffers will dismiss it as myth and not apply the same standard to it as with other ancient documents. But can we add veracity to the flood account from other **ancient sources**? Yes!

Here is a non-exhaustive list of cultures who tell a similar story of a great flood upon the earth:

1. Hawaii - worldwide flood, only 'Nu-u' and his family survived on a boat full of animals.

2. China - worldwide flood, only 'Fuhi' and his family survived he world.

3. Toltec - first world was destroyed by a flood that covered the mountains. Only 'Coxcox' family survived.

4. Aborigini - worldwide flood, only jil' and his children survived.

5. Native American - worldwide flood, only a prophet survived.

6. **Peru** - worldwide flood, covered mountains, everything died, only 1 man & 1 woman survived in a box.

7. Scandanavia - rivers & seas overflowed & boiled. Earth sank and slowly re-emerged and men returned.

I think 7 examples are enough, but there are about 270 stories like this from every part of the world and every culture, which would be expected from such a cataclysmic event in human history.

5. Strata and **geologic formations** such as giant land locked lakes and spill-ways would all be expected to be found all over the world if there was a worldwide flood. And that is exactly what we see.

1. The grand-unconformity is an "erosional boundary of a colossal scale" - Dr. Steve Austin Ph.D geologist which separated the bedrock of continents from the deposited sediments eroded during the flood.

2. Mega-sequences show huge worldwide deposits of sediments from flood waters, which show it was a worldwide event. "this continuity of the strata allows us to visualize what the flood was like." - Dr. Steve Austin Ph.D geologist

3. Formations such as **Grand Canyon** are believed to be washed out spill ways from huge land locked lakes left after the flood receded "I feel confident that sedimentary process and the power of water can transform this surface [Grand Canyon] in a rapid way. We do not need billions, hundreds of millions or even millions of years here. And so time is not a magic wand that is the hero of the plot. Long time is foreign to a good explanation here." - **Dr. Steve Austin Ph.D** *geologist* (quotes from *Is Genesis History* extended edition)

6. Genesis 8:4 And the ark rested in the seventh month, on the seventeenth day of the month, upon the <u>mountains of Ararat</u>.

The Ark which Noah and his family survived the flood inside of with the animals to repopulate the earth, is believed to have been discovered in 1977 by archaeologist Ron Wyatt.

It is located in East Turkey and has been the site of much study. There is a museum there that people can visit. And the local town was already named 'Noah's big boat' indicating they believed this was the site even before the discovery. Indeed there are other ancient sources which say as much "It is said there is still some part of this ship [Noah's Ark] in Armenia, at the mountain of the Cordyaeans..." - Berosus the Chaldean 290 BC.

FROM THE AIM the simplicipal occline free to the course of a largehide so, the object of networkstatic that is only 22 orders from the Rassian becker. The Landsfields

our of around adding man force payled think mud and closure around the encapse form. The phote was shell by a Tantah and occurs plane from 10,000 for.

NOAH'S ARK?

Boatlike form is seen near Ararat

While routicely communing actual photos of his consisters, a Tacdaidi armay captain antidenby gaped at the plenume threes above, Thures, on a meantain 20 while south of Mr. Annuet, the fieldinal bouilait of Neatrice Ada, was a bout diaped form above 500 first long. The captain powerd on the word, Soon an expedition including American solution set with or the the dis-

At 7,000 liser, in the mide of correspondential indefinite deficit, the explositive bound a clinic, grancy area shaped like a drig and remated with strepproduction the sales. In dimensions are above to flower given in Generatic The length of the ack shall be 200 valids, the localith of 4.50 coldinand the beight of a 50 valids. This is, 650s (Tarbi ford, A speeck recorder increase recorded no caps that the object was made made. Yet a reconstrict in the groups area miding in increase could arrange made a compartical diago-A flowering the excitation may be availed around an object for mystery.



FROM THE GROUND, at the "ourn," the 200 loss while object is seen to have programmered meeted in sector. The 200 loss high vise function-political's backet.

-C-BelTreinin

10.0

2Peter 3:3 Knowing this first, that <u>there shall come in the last days scoffers</u>, walking after their own lusts, 4 And saying, Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. 5 For this <u>they willingly are ignorant</u> of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: 6 Whereby <u>the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished</u>..."

Conclusion: There is overwhelming evidence for the Biblical, worldwide flood. And the list provided was not exhaustive!

Dinosaurs & Men

The secular religion began teaching that dragons (renamed Dinosaurs - meaning terrible lizard in 1842) went extinct before mankind 'evolved' on the earth. This was a new teaching, but was there any evidence for this at the time? Certainly not! No dating techniques existed at the time and nothing discovered since has validated the claim (remember we already discussed the soft tissue discoveries). It is simply part of the secular belief system, but we will now show many proofs that dinosaurs lived contemporaneously with man and only went extinct relatively recently (if at all).

1. Soft tissue has been discovered on Dinosaur bones many times, proving recent death. "Fragile, complex molecules like proteins, even if hermetically sealed, should fall apart all by themselves in well under 65 million years" - **creation.com**

2. The Ica stones (belonging to the Ica culture 600AD - 1200AD) were discovered in the 1960's and many of them show detailed engravings of dinosaurs, sometimes with men.



3. The Temple of Angkor Wat (Built 12th Century) has details carvings of dinosaurs too.



4. Cave paintings show dinosaurs too.



5. Animal encyclopaedia such as the 'historia animalium' record dinosaurs existing (although rare) in the 1500's



6. Human footprints inside or side by side with dinosaur footprints have been discovered all over the world too.

"The Delk Track has been authenticated by spiral CT scan, which can verify that there is greater compression density below the tracks then elsewhere in the rock. The right picture shows what are called "following contours" revealed by the CT scan. These would not be there if the track was carved. These Paluxy "man-tracks alongside dinosaur-tracks" have been the source of considerable controversy over the years." - **GenesisPark.com**



7. Marco Polo, the famous Italian explorer recorded seeing dragons in use by an Emperor in China during the 13th century.

8. The Bible itself records Dinosaurs and explicitly states they were created by God with man! Job 40:15 Behold now behemoth, which I made with thee

The scriptures then proceed to give an extremely detailed description of a sauropod dinosaur, the largest land animal God made.

Conclusion: Man & Dinosaur lived together until recently, and dinosaurs did not become extinct 'millions' of years ago!

Summary

The religious secularists who have infiltrated the Christian created scientific institutions and corrupted them with the counter-intuitive, unscientific, demonic evolution philosophy have been successful in tricking the unsuspecting public into believing their account of earth history. But we who are enlightened by the Word of God should not fall prey to their lies.

Dr Jonathon Wells, molecular biologist – "I love science. The way Darwinism corrupts the evidence and distorts the evidence is bad for science."

We should always be ready to stand on the truth and speak it (1Peter 3:15), but we have to study (2Timothy 2:15), and prove it first (1Thessalonians 5:21). True science always supports Holy Scripture, just as does history and life.

Ephesians 5:8

"For ye were sometimes darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord: walk as children of light..."

www.mattyjohn146.com